arXiv:1410.6828v2 [math.CO] 3 Nov 2014

On the number of 5-cycles in a tournament

Natasha Komarov* and John Mackey†

November 5, 2014

Abstract

We find a formula for the number of directed 5-cycles in a tournament in terms of its edge score sequence and use it to find upper and lower bounds on the number of 5-cycles in any n-tournament. In particular, we show that the maximum number of 5-cycles is asymptotically equal to $\frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5}$, the expected number 5-cycles in a random tournament ($p = \frac{1}{2}$), with equality (up to order of magnitude) for almost all tournaments.

1 Introduction

The work of Beineke and Harary [1] establishes upper bounds on the number of strong k-subtournaments in any n-tournament, and consequently the number of k-cycles for $k = 3, 4$. They note that the problem of finding the maximum number of k-cycles, $k > 4$, in any n-tournament remains unsolved. David Berman [3, 4] maximized the number of 5-cycles in a narrow family of tournaments (specifically, those that are "semi-transitive"). Computing the number of 5-cycles in a general n-tournament remained elusive, however. We find a formula for the number of 5-cycles in an n-tournament in terms of its edge score sequence and use this to derive upper and lower bounds on the number of 5-cycles.

It is interesting to note that for $k = 4$, the maximum number of k-cycles is greater than the expected number in a random tournament with edge probability $p = \frac{1}{2}$ (by a factor of $\frac{4}{3}$) whereas for $k = 3$ and $k = 5$ these values are asymptotically equal.

*Dept. of Math., Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA 15213, USA; nkom@cmu.edu.
†Dept. of Math., Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA 15213, USA; jmackey@andrew.cmu.edu.

1.1 Context and motivation

In extremal combinatorics we are frequently interested in determining whether the largest or smallest possible number of copies of a given object in a graph or tournament is asymptotically the same as the expected number of copies of it in a random graph or tournament.

Perhaps the first result in this direction was Goodman's Theorem (initially stated and proven by Goodman [9], with the proof later improved upon by Lorden [12]), which states that the number of complete 3-vertex subgraphs plus the number of 3-vertex independent sets in an n-vertex graph is at least $n(n-1)(n-5)/24$, whereas the expected number of such objects in a random graph (with edge density $p = \frac{1}{2}$) on n vertices is $n(n-1)(n-2)/24$.

This led to the conjecture of Burr and Rosta [5] (extending a conjecture of Erdös [8]) that the sum of the number of complete k-vertex subgraphs and the number of k-vertex independent sets is minimized at about $\binom{n}{k}2^{1-\binom{k}{2}}$, which is the expected number of such occurences in a random $\left(p = \frac{1}{2}\right)$ n-vertex graph. Thomason [15] disproved this conjecture for all $k \geq 4$, but other positive results similar to Goodman's exist (e.g. [7, 10]).

In the setting of tournaments, it is not difficult to show, for example, that the number of acyclic subtournaments on k vertices in an n-vertex tournament is at least

$$\frac{n(n-1)(n-3)...(n-2^{k-1}+1)}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}},$$

which is asymptotically the same as the expected number of such things in a random n-vertex tournament (this is Theorem 3.1, below).

For k=3 the result above was initially discovered by Kendall and Babington Smith [11] using the method of paired comparisons in the context of maximizing the number of 3-cycles in an n-vertex tournament. We see that an n-vertex tournament will contain no more than $\frac{1}{24}n(n+1)(n-1)$ 3-cycles when n is odd and $\frac{1}{24}n(n+2)(n-2)$ 3-cycles when n is even (see, e.g. [1, 2, 11, 13, 14]), which is approximately the number of 3-cycles that one expects in a random n-vertex tournament.

For k=4, the work of Beineke and Harary [1] shows that there can be no more than $\frac{1}{48}n(n+1)(n-1)(n-3)$ 4-cycles in a tournament on n vertices if n is odd and no more than

$\frac{1}{48}n(n+2)(n-2)(n-3)$ if n is even, and moreover, that this number can be achieved by a particular family of tournaments.

One might expect, just as in the case of Thomason's disproof of Erdös' conjecture, that the maximum number of k-cycles in an n-vertex tournament would be asymptotically larger than the expected number of k-cycles in a random n-vertex tournament for all $k \geq 4$. As a result of our work, however, we see that the maximum number of 5-cycles in an n-vertex tournament is asymptotically the same as the expected number of 5-cycles in a random n-vertex tournament.

2 The number of 5-cycles in a tournament

The expected number of (directed) 5-cycles in an n-vertex tournament is given by $\frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5}$. Let $c(T,k)$ be the number of k-cycles in a tournament T. We will find $c(T,5)$ for any tournament T in terms of its edge score sequence, and show that the maximum number of 5-cycles in a tournament is (asymptotically) at most the expected number.

2.1 The number of 5-cycles in a tournament

The **edge score sequence** or **edge degree sequence** of a tournament $T = (V, E)$ is a sequence $(X_e)_{e \in E}$ of ordered 4-tuples $X_e = (A(e), B(e), C(e), D(e))$ where $e = (u, v)$ and we define

- $A(u,v) = |\{w \in V \backslash \{u,v\}\} | (u,w) \in E \text{ and } (v,w) \in E\}|$

  (i.e. the number of vertices that both u and v have as out-neighbors)

- $B(u,v) = |\{w \in V \backslash \{u,v\}\} | (w,u) \in E \text{ and } (w,v) \in E\}|$

  (i.e. the number of vertices that both u and v have as in-neighbors)

- $C(u,v) = |\{w \in V \backslash \{u,v\}\} | (u,w) \in E \text{ and } (w,v) \in E\}|$

  (i.e. the number of vertices that are out-neighbors of u and in-neighbors of v)

- $D(u,v) = |\{w \in V \backslash \{u,v\}\}| (w,u) \in E \text{ and } (v,w) \in E\}|$

  (i.e. the number of vertices that form a directed 3-cycle with u and v)

Note that for any edge $(u,v) \in E$,

$$od(u) = 1 + A(u,v) + C(u,v) \tag{1}$$

$$id(u) = B(u,v) + D(u,v) \tag{2}$$

$$od(v) = A(u,v) + D(u,v) \tag{3}$$

$$id(v) = 1 + B(u,v) + C(u,v) \tag{4}$$

Theorem 2.1. *The number of 5-cycles in an n-tournament $T = (V,E)$ with edge degree sequence $(A(e), B(e), C(e), D(e))_{e \in E}$ is given by*

$$c(T,5) = \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5} - \frac{1}{8}\sum_{(u,v)\in E}[(C+D)(A-B)^2 + (A+B)(C-D)^2] + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{(u,v)\in E}(A+B)(C+D).$$

where, for notational convenience, $A=A(u,v), B=B(u,v), C=C(u,v)$, and $D=D(u,v)$.

Proof. There are twelve nonisomorphic tournaments on five vertices, displayed in Figure 1. In the figure, where an arc is omitted between a pair of vertices, it goes from the higher vertex to the lower vertex, as in [13]. We will call these tournaments T_1 through T_{12} (in the order in which they are displayed).

Let $T = (V,E)$ be an arbitrary tournament on n vertices. Let $A_i(T)$ be the number of appearances of T_i as an induced subtournament in T, for each $i \in [12]$. We will write $A_i(T) = A_i$ when this will not result in any ambiguity. Note that

$$c(T,5) = A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + 2A_{10} + 3A_{11} + 2A_{12} \tag{5}$$

			
$(0,1,2,3,4)$ 120 I	$(0,1,3,3,3)$ 40 C_3	$(0,2,2,3,3)$ 120 I	$(0,2,2,2,4)$ 40 C_3
$(1,1,1,3,4)$ 40 C_3	$(1,1,2,2,4)$ 120 I	$(1,1,2,3,3)$ 120 I	$(1,1,2,3,3)$ 120 I
			
$(1,2,2,2,3)$ 120 I	$(1,2,2,2,3)$ 120 I	$(1,2,2,2,3)$ 40 C_3	$(2,2,2,2,2)$ 24 C_5

Figure 1: The 12 non-isomorphic tournaments on 5 vertices

As we did for $c(T,5)$ in Equation (5), we can write linear relations for each of a variety of quantities in terms of $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{12}$. We summarize these linear relations in the matrix in Figure 2. In this matrix, the rows correspond to the quantities:

1. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{A(u,v)}{2} C(u,v)$
2. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{A(u,v)}{2} D(u,v)$
3. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{B(u,v)}{2} C(u,v)$
4. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{B(u,v)}{2} D(u,v)$
5. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{C(u,v)}{2} A(u,v)$
6. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{C(u,v)}{2} B(u,v)$
7. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{D(u,v)}{2} A(u,v)$
8. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} \binom{D(u,v)}{2} B(u,v)$
9. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} A(u,v)B(u,v)C(u,v)$
10. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} A(u,v)B(u,v)D(u,v)$
11. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} A(u,v)C(u,v)D(u,v)$
12. $\displaystyle\sum_{(u,v)\in E} B(u,v)C(u,v)D(u,v)$
13. $\displaystyle\binom{n}{5}$

14. $c(T,5)$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 2
\end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 2: 14 linear relations in A_1 through A_{12}

From this matrix, we arrive at the following conclusion:

$$8R_{14} = -2\sum_{i=1}^{8} R_i + 2\sum_{i=9}^{12} R_i + 6R_{13},$$

where R_i is the i^{th} row of the matrix. This simplifies to the following identity:

$$8c(T,5) = 6\binom{n}{5} - \sum_{(u,v)\in E} [(C+D)(A-B)^2 + (A+B)(C-D)^2] + 2\sum_{(u,v)\in E} (A+B)(C+D),$$

as desired. □

The sum being subtracted is nonnegative and the sum being added is a lower-order term. Therefore,

$$c(T,5) \leq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5} + O(n^4)$$

with equality if and only if

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E} [(C+D)(A-B)^2 + (A+B)(C-D)^2] = O(n^4) \tag{6}$$

Therefore, we have as corollaries to Theorem 2.1 the following bounds.

Corollary 2.2. *For all n-tournaments T,*

$$c(T,5) \leq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5} + \frac{1}{4}\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2.$$

Proof. The sum being subtracted in Theorem 2.1 is at most zero, so we focus on the quantity

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E} (A+B)(C+D).$$

Recall that $A+B+C+D = n-2$ for each $(u,v) \in E$, so $(A+B)(C+D)$ is maximized when $A+B = C+D = \frac{n-2}{2}$. Therefore

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E} (A+B)(C+D) \leq \binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2,$$

and the desired result follows. □

Corollary 2.3. *For all n-tournaments T,*

$$c(T,5) \geq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5} - \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-2}{2}\sum_{w\in V}\left(od(w) - \frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{3}{8}\binom{n}{3}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$c(T,5) \geq \frac{3}{4}\binom{n}{5} - \frac{1}{8}\sum_{(u,v)\in E}[(C+D)(A-B)^2 + (A+B)(C-D)^2], \tag{7}$$

so we seek an upper bound for the sum on the right hand side of (7).

From Equations (1), (2), (3), and (4) above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A-B &= od(v) - id(u), \text{ and} \\ C-D &= od(u) - od(v) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

We also note that $C+D \leq n-2$, $A+B \leq n-2$, and $id(u) = n-1-od(u)$ for any $u \in V$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in E}[(C+D)(A-B)^2 + (A+B)(C-D)^2] \tag{8}$$

is bounded above by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-2)\sum_{(u,v)\in E}[(od(v)-id(u))^2+(od(u)-od(v)-1)^2] \\
= \;& (n-2)\sum_{(u,v)\in E}\left[2\left(od(v)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+2\left(od(u)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+1-2od(u)+2od(v)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can translate this sum over edges to a sum over vertices. Summing, say, $od(v)$ over all edges (u,v) means that for each time that a vertex v appears as the terminus of a directed edge (which happens $id(v)$ times), it contributes $od(v)$ to the sum. Therefore $\sum_{(u,v)\in E} od(v) = \sum_{v\in V} id(v)od(v)$. Proceeding in similar fashion for all of the terms in the above sum, we obtain that the bound above is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-2)\sum_{w\in V}\left[2(n-1)\left(od(w)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n-1}{2}-2od(w)^2+2id(w)od(w)\right] \\
= \;& (n-2)\sum_{w\in V}\left[2(n-1)\left(od(w)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n-1}{2}-4od(w)^2+2(n-1)od(w)\right] \\
= \;& (n-2)\sum_{w\in V}\left[2(n-3)\left(od(w)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n-1}{2}+(n-1)^2-2(n-1)od(w)\right] \\
= \;& 2(n-2)(n-3)\sum_{w\in V}\left(od(w)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \\
= \;& 4\binom{n-2}{2}\sum_{w\in V}\left(od(w)-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2+3\binom{n}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. □

3 Acyclic subtournaments: a lower bound

Theorem 3.1. *For any positive integers n and k, the number of acyclic subtournaments on k vertices in any n-vertex tournament is at least*

$$
f(n,k) = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-1)(n-3)\ldots(n-2^{k-1}+1)}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}} & \text{if } n > 2^{k-1}-1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on k. Clearly, we have equality for all n when k=1 or k=2, so now assume that $k \geq 3$ and that the result is true for all positive integers less than k.

Let $T = (V, E)$ be an n-vertex tournament for some fixed n. Since every k-vertex acyclic tournament has out-degree sequence $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, it has a unique vertex of outdegree $k-1$. Therefore, we can count the number of k-vertex acyclic subtournaments of T by summing up the number of acyclic subtournaments on $k-1$ vertices in the out-neighborhoods of each of the vertices in V.

By induction, there are at least $f(od(v), k-1)$ subtournaments on $k-1$ vertices in the out-neighborhood of v (for each $v \in V$), so the number of k-vertex acyclic subtournaments of T is at least

$$\sum_{v \in V} f(od(v), k-1).$$

Now using the facts that $f(x, k-1)$ is a convex function of x and that the sum of the out-degrees of T is $n(n-1)/2$, we see that this sum is at least

$$nf((n-1)/2, k-1) = f(n, k),$$

as desired. □

Note that the expected number of acyclic subtournaments on k vertices in a random n-vertex tournament is

$$g(n, k) = \frac{n(n-1)\ldots(n-k+1)}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}},$$

and that for any fixed k,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n, k)}{g(n, k)} = 1.$$

4 Generalizations and future directions

It is unexpected and exciting that $c(n, k)$, the maximum number of directed k-cycles in an n-vertex tournament, is asymptotically equal to the expected number of these cycles in a random tournament with edge density p when k=3 and k=5, but not when k=4. A natural

next direction is to find for which k is $c(n,k)$ asymptotically equal to the expected value, $\frac{(k-1)!}{2^k}\binom{n}{k}$.

In finding the maximum number of 5-cycles, we made use of the fact that the number of 5-cycles in any tournament can be written in terms of its edge score sequence (that is, using the values $A(u,v), B(u,v), C(u,v)$, and $D(u,v)$ for each edge (u,v) in the tournament). It is interesting to note that this approach will not work for computing the number of 6-cycles in a tournament, as $c(T,6)$ cannot be written in terms of the edge score sequence. It would also be very interesting to find a combinatorial interpretation of a formula for $c(T,5)$ written in terms of the edge score sequence.

References

[1] L.W. Beineke and F. Harary. The maximum number of strongly connected subtournaments. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 8(4), 1965.

[2] L.W. Beineke and K.B. Reid. *Tournaments*. Academic Press, London, 1978.

[3] David M. Berman. On the number of 5-cycles in a tournament. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1975)*, pages 101–108. Congressus Numerantium, No. XIV. Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1975.

[4] D.M. Berman. *The Number of 5-cycles in a Tournament*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1973.

[5] S.A. Burr and V.. Rosta. On the ramsey multiplicities of graph problems and recent results. *J. Graph Theory*, 4:347–361, 1980.

[6] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, and P. Zhang. *Graphs and Digraphs*. CRC Press, USA, 2011.

[7] D. Conlon, J. Fox, and B. Sudakov. An approximate version of Sidorenko's conjecture. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 20(6):1354–1366, 2010.

[8] P. Erdös. On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.*, 2(A3):459–464, 1962.

[9] A.W. Goodman. On sets of acquaintances and strangers at any party. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66:778–783, 1959.

[10] C. Jagger, P. Stovicek, and A.G. Thomason. Multiplicities of subgraphs. *Combinatorica*, 16:123–141, 1996.

[11] M.G. Kendall and B.B. Smith. On the method of paired comparisons. *Biometrika*, (31):324–345, 1940.

[12] G. Lorden. Blue-empty chromatic graphs. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 69:114–120, 1962.

[13] J. W. Moon. *Topics on Tournaments*. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, USA, 1968.

[14] J. W. Moon. Uncovered nodes and 3-cycles in tournaments. *Australasian J. Combin*, pages 157–173, 1993.

[15] A.G. Thomason. Blue-empty chromatic graphs: A disproof of a conjecture of Erdös in ramsey theory. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 39(2):246–255, 1989.